



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

107

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,155	11/17/2003	Norman E. Street	047177-9060-03	4289

23585 7590 03/18/2005

MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP  
3773 CORPORATE PARKWAY  
SUITE 360  
CENTER VALLEY, PA 18034-8217

EXAMINER

TANNER, HARRY B

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3744

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SM

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/715,155	<b>Applicant(s)</b> STREET ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Harry B. Tanner	<b>Art Unit</b> 3744	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-85 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9, 14, 16, 21, 26, 27, 47-56, 58, 59, 65, 68, 72-75, 78, 79, 82 and 83 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)            |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/13; 7/15; 11/17</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____  |

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims withdrawn from consideration are 10-13,15,17-20,22-25,28-46,57,60-64,66,67,69-71,76,77,80,81,84 and 85.

Applicant's election of the species of Figure 10 in the reply filed on 1/3/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 10-13, 15, 17-20, 22-25, 28-46, 57, 60-64, 66-67, 69-71, 76-77, 80-81 and 84-85 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 1/3/05.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5-8, 16, 21, 26, 27, 47, 50-53, 65, 68, 72-73 and 75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Torimitsu (5,460,006) in view of DeWolf et al (5,279,458). Torimitsu discloses a commercial refrigeration system having compressor, condenser, valve, evaporator coil, fixture cooled by the evaporator coil, system controller 100 and a plurality of subsystem controller 10A-1, 10A-2 ... 10N-4 in digital communication with the system controller for transmitting set point data, detected data and control data. DeWolf teaches the use of a central controller 12 in order to monitor and control a plurality of subsystems 16 over a communication network. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to have modified the system of Torimitsu such that it included the use of centralized control of subsystems in view of the teachings of DeWolf. It is inherent in the system controller of Torimitsu that the controller will have means for input and output to and from the user.

Claim 74 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Torimitsu (5,460,006) in view of DeWolf et al (5,279,458) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Krueger et al (5,950,709). Krueger teaches the use of wireless communication between a controller and an input/output device (see col. 3, lines 9-17). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system of Torimitsu such that it included the use of wireless communication between a controller and an input/output device in view of the teachings of Krueger.

Claims 2, 9, 48, 54, 78-79, 82 and 83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Torimitsu (5,460,006) in view of DeWolf et al (5,279,458) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Douglass (6,005,424). Douglass teaches the use of a data line providing power to an electronic device in order to eliminate the need for separate power and data wires (see col. 1, lines 21-29). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system of Torimitsu such that it included the use of a data line providing power to an subsystem controller in order to eliminate the need for separate power and data wires in view of the teachings of Douglass.


Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Torimitsu (5,460,006) in view of DeWolf et al (5,279,458) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Rein et al (5,390,206). Rein teaches the use of wireless communication between a main controller and subsystem controllers in order to eliminate the need data lines. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system of Torimitsu such that it included the use of wireless communication between a main controller and subsystem controllers in view of the teachings of Rein.

Claims 3, 14, 49, 55-56 and 58-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Torimitsu (5,460,006) in view of DeWolf et al (5,279,458) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Official Notice. Official Notice is taken that the control of compressors, condensers and pressure regulation valves are all well known and conventional in a refrigeration system art. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system of Torimitsu such that it included the control of compressors, condensers and pressure regulation valves in the subsystem refrigeration devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry B. Tanner whose telephone number is (571) 272-4813. The examiner can normally be reached 8:30 am to 6:00 pm Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday and 2:00 pm to 6:00 pm Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel, can be reached on (571) 272-4808. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Harry B. Tanner", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Harry B. Tanner  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3744